

Comment “On dual ordered semigroups”

Niovi Kehayopulu

Department of Mathematics, University of Athens
15784 Panepistimiopolis, Athens, Greece
nkehayop@math.uoa.gr

Abstract. This is about the paper by Thawat Changphas and Nawamin Phaipong in *Quasigroups and Related Systems* 22 (2014), 193–200.

2012 AMS Subject Classification: 06F05, 20M10

Keywords: dual semigroup; dual ordered semigroup; left (right) ideal

This paper is actually the introduction and the main part from section 2 (: the first decomposition theorem) of the paper by *S. Schwarz* [On dual semigroups, *Czech. Math. J.* 10(85) (1960), 201–230 ([10] in the References of the paper). The authors considered an ordered semigroup $(S, ., \leq)$ instead of the semigroup $(S, .)$ considered by Schwarz, repeated the results by Schwarz exactly as they are given by Schwarz in [3] (instead of referring to [3] in the text), without any further explanation. The order plays a very very little role in Lemma 1.1(1), Lemma 1.1(4), Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.15(4) (the Lemma 2.8 and Corollaries 9 and 10 are immediate consequences of Lemma 2.7), but the proofs of Lemma 1.1(1) and Lemma 1.1(4) are wrong. According to the proof of Lemma 1.1(1), the relation $y \leq x$ implies $yA \subseteq xA$. Is it true? Why? There is no explanation in the paper and it is not true. Besides, in an ordered semigroup, $y \leq x$ does not imply $yA \subseteq xA$ in general. Does $y \leq x$ imply $My \subseteq Mx$ in the proof of Lemma 1.1(4)? This is also wrong. In addition, the Lemma 1.1(4) does not need any proof since it is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.1(1) and the corresponding result on semigroups by Schwarz. In Lemma 1.1(4), the authors repeated the proof given by Schwarz. It might be noted that this proof can be drastically simplified without using any additional results (taking an element in $Sr(M)$, we can immediately show that this element belongs to $r(M)$). As far as the Lemma 2.15(4) is concerned, if $(S, .)$ is a semigroup with 0, A an ideal of S and L a left ideal of $r(A)$, then L is also a left ideal of $(S, .)$. This is due to Schwarz and the authors repeated the proof due to Schwarz and added that “if $x \in L$ and $S \ni y \leq x$, then $y \in r(A)$ ” while only that very little part (the proof of $y \in r(A)$) was needed to be added in Schwarz’s proof to pass from the semigroup to the ordered semigroup (see the Lemma 2,2 in [3]). The aim of the present

note is to indicate the mistakes and correct the proofs of Lemma 1.1(1) and Lemma 1.1(4). Throughout the paper the authors repeat the results due to Schwarz. We will mainly deal with the proofs related to the order, for the rest the authors should refer to [3]. If $(S, \cdot, \leq)$ is an ordered semigroup, the zero of S is an element of S usually denoted by 0 , such that $x0 = 0x = 0$ and $0 \leq x$ for every $x \in S$, that is, 0 is the zero of the semigroup $(S, \cdot)$ and the zero of the ordered set $(S, \leq)$. If S is a semigroup or an ordered semigroup with 0 , $l(A)$ denotes the subset of S defined by $l(A) = \{x \in S \mid xA = 0\}$ and $r(A)$ the subset of S defined by $r(A) = \{x \in S \mid Ax = 0\}$. It is more than clear that one can never say that “Obtained results generalize (or extend) the results on semigroups without order” as it is mentioned in the abstract and in the introduction of the paper. **This is the Lemma 1.1(1) in [1]:** If $(S, \cdot, \leq)$ is an ordered semigroup and A a nonempty subset of S , then the set $l(A)$ is a left ideal and the set $r(A)$ is a right ideal of S . (The authors should added that $0 \in S$).

This is the Lemma 1.1(4) in [1]: If $(S, \cdot, \leq)$ is an ordered semigroup and M a right ideal of M , then the set $r(M)$ is an ideal of S . (Again here the $0 \in S$ should be added).

Concerning the proof of Lemma 1.1(1): If $A \neq \emptyset$, $x \in l(A)$ and $S \ni y \leq x$, we have to prove that $y \in l(A)$. According to the authors, $y \leq x$ implies $yA \subseteq xA$ which is wrong.

Concerning the proof of Lemma 1.1(4): If M is a right ideal of S , $x \in r(M)$ and $S \ni y \leq x$, we have to prove that $y \in r(M)$. According to the authors, $y \leq x$ implies $My \subseteq Mx$ which is also wrong.

Let us prove that if M is a right (resp. left) ideal of an ordered semigroup S , then $y \leq x$ does not imply $My \subseteq Mx$ (resp. $yM \subseteq xM$) in general. This shows the mistake in Lemma 1.1(1) as well, as the right and the left ideals of an ordered semigroup S are nonempty subsets of S . $\square$

Example. [2] Consider the ordered semigroup $S = \{a, b, c, d, f\}$ defined by the multiplication and the covering relation given below:

$\cdot$	a	b	c	d	f
a	a	b	a	a	a
b	a	b	a	a	a
c	a	b	c	a	a
d	a	b	a	a	d
f	a	b	a	a	f

$$\prec = \{a, b), (c, a), (d, a)\}.$$

The set $M = \{a, c, d\}$ is a left ideal of S , $c \leq a$ but $cM \not\subseteq aM$. The set $M = \{a, b, c, d\}$ is a right ideal of S , $c \leq a$ but $Mc \not\subseteq Ma$. $\square$

The corrected form of Lemma 1.1(1) and its proof: *If $(S, \cdot, \leq)$ is an ordered semigroup with zero and A a nonempty subset of S , then the set $l(A)$ is a left ideal and the set $r(A)$ is a right ideal of S .*

Proof. Let A be a nonempty subset of S . The set $l(A)$ is a left ideal of $(S, \cdot)$ [1]. Let now $x \in l(A)$ and $S \ni y \leq x$. Then $y \in l(A)$, that is, $yA = \{0\}$. Indeed: Let $z \in A$. Then $yz \leq xz \in xA = \{0\}$, so $xz = 0$, and $yz = 0$. Since $yA \subseteq \{0\}$ and $yA \neq \{0\}$, we have $yA = \{0\}$. $\square$

Remark. If A is a nonempty subset of S , then $y \leq x$ implies $yA \subseteq (xA]$. Indeed: If $ya \in yA$ for some $a \in A$, then $ya \leq xa \in xA$, so $ya \in (xA]$. If $x \in l(A)$ and $S \ni y \leq x$, then $y \in l(A)$, that is, $yA = \{0\}$. In fact: Since $y \in l(A)$ and $yA \subseteq (xA]$, we have $yA \subseteq (\{0\}) = \{0\}$, and so $yA = \{0\}$. $\square$

The corrected proof of Lemma 1.1(4): If M is a right ideal of $(S, \cdot, \leq)$, then $r(M)$ is an ideal of $(S, \cdot, \leq)$. Indeed: By Lemma 1.1(1), the set $r(M)$ is a right ideal of S , the rest of the proof is a consequence of the Lemma 1,1 d) in [3]. $\square$

This is the Lemma 2.15(4): *Let S be an ordered semigroup having zero and A an ideal of S . If L is a ideal of $r(A)$, then L is an ideal of S .*

It is enough to prove that “if $x \in L$ such that $y \leq x$, then $y \in r(A)$ ”.

As only the fact that $y \in r(A)$ should be added in Schwarz’ proof, the authors should emphasize it and write: “if $x \in L$ such that $y \leq x$ then, since $L \subseteq r(A)$, we have $y \in r(A)$ ”. Several times, and in Lemma 2.15, the authors used the fact that $ar(A) = \{0\}$. This can be used in the proof of the Lemma 2.15(4) as well as follows: If A a nonempty subset of S , $L \subseteq r(A)$, $x \in L$ and $S \ni y \leq x$, then $Ay = 0$, and so $y \in r(A)$. Besides, in the proof the “ $\{0\} \cup L \subseteq L$ ” should be replaced by “ $\{0\} \cup L = L$ ”, since $0 \in L$. $\square$

Let us discuss now some further results related to a semigroup $(S, \cdot)$ in an attempt to show that the proof of Lemma 1,1 d) in [3] (and in [1]) can be simplified without using any additional results. We can directly prove that $Sr(M) \subseteq r(M)$ by taking an element of $Sr(M)$ and show that it belongs to $r(M)$ and so for the inclusion $A \subseteq r(l(A))$ in Lemma 1.1(2).

Remark. This is the Lemma 1,1 d) in [3] (which is the same proof of Lemma 1.1(4) in [1]): If $(S, \cdot)$ is a semigroup with 0 and M a right ideal of S , then $r(M)$ is an ideal of S . Its proof in [3] (and in [1]) is based on the following three steps:

(a) If $(S, \cdot)$ is a semigroup with 0 and M a right ideal of S , then $Mr(M) = \{0\}$.

Indeed: Let $a \in M$ and $b \in r(M)$. Since $Mb = 0$, we have $ab \in Mb = \{0\}$, then $ab = 0$,

so $Mr(M) \subseteq \{0\}$. Since M is a right ideal of S , we have $0 \in M$, and $\{0\} \subseteq Mr(M)$.

(b) If $(S, \cdot)$ is a semigroup with 0 and M a right ideal of S , then $M(Sr(M)) = \{0\}$ and $M(r(M)S) = \{0\}$. Indeed: By (a), we have $M(Sr(M)) = (MS)r(M) \subseteq Mr(M) = \{0\}$, so $M(Sr(M)) = \{0\}$ and $M(r(M)S) = (Mr(M))S = \{0\}S = \{0\}$.

(c) The equality $M(Sr(M)) = \{0\}$ implies $Sr(M) \subseteq r(M)$ and $M(r(M)S) = \{0\}$ implies $r(M)S \subseteq r(M)$. Indeed: Suppose $M(Sr(M)) = \{0\}$. If $ab \in Sr(M)$ for some $a \in S$, $b \in r(M)$, then $M(ab) \subseteq M(Sr(M)) = \{0\}$, then $M(ab) \subseteq \{0\}$, $M(ab) = \{0\}$, $ab \in r(M)$. Suppose $M(r(M)S) = \{0\}$. If $ab \in r(M)S$ for some $a \in r(M)$, $b \in S$, then $M(ab) \subseteq M(r(M)S) = \{0\}$, then $M(ab) = \{0\}$, and $ab \in r(M)$.

As a consequence, if $(S, \cdot)$ is a semigroup with 0 and M a right ideal of S , then $r(M)$ (resp. $l(M)$) is an ideal of M . In a similar way we prove that if M is a left ideal of $(S, \cdot)$, then $l(M)$ is a left ideal of S .

A very simple proof of Lemma 1.1 d) in [3] is the following:

If M a right ideal of S , then $Sr(M) \subseteq r(M)$. Indeed: Let $a \in S$ and $b \in r(M)$. Since $Mb = 0$, we have $M(ab) = (Ma)b \subseteq (MS)b \subseteq Mb = 0$, so $M(ab) \subseteq \{0\}$. Since $0 \in M$, we get $\{0\} \subseteq M(ab)$, then $M(ab) = \{0\}$, and $ab \in r(M)$. $\square$

Remark. This is the Lemma 1.1(2): If $(S, \cdot, \leq)$ is an ordered semigroup, and A a nonempty subset of S , then $A \subseteq r(l(A))$ and $A \subseteq l(r(A))$. This lemma is stated without proof in [3] (order plays no role in it), and this is its proof in [1]: Since $l(A)A = 0$, we have $A \subseteq r(l(A))$. It is not necessary to have $l(A)A = 0$, the equality $l(A)A = 0$ has been used by Schwarz for other purpose. The proof is as follows: Let $x \in A$. Then $l(A)x = 0$, and so $x \in r(l(A))$.

Let us give an example of an ordered semigroup with 0 in which the Lemma 1.1 of the paper in [1] can be applied:

Example. [2] For the ordered semigroup S defined by the multiplication and the covering relation below the element a is the zero of S .

$\cdot$	a	b	c	d	f
a	a	a	a	a	a
b	a	a	a	b	c
c	a	b	c	a	a
d	a	a	a	d	f
f	a	d	f	a	a

$$\prec = \{(a, b), (a, c), (a, d), (a, f)\}.$$

The right ideals of S are the sets: $\{a\}$, $\{a, b, c\}$, $\{a, d, f\}$, S .

The left ideals of S are the sets: $\{a\}$, $\{a, b, d\}$, $\{a, c, f\}$, S .

References

- [1] Thawhat Changphas and Nawamin Phaipong, On dual ordered semigroups, Quasigroups and Related Systems **22** (2014), 193–200.
- [2] N. Kehayopulu, On regular, intra-regular ordered semigroups, Pure Math. Appl. (P.U.M.A.) **4** (1993), 447–461.
- [3] Stefan Schwarz, On dual semigroups, Czechoslovak Math. J. **10** (85), 1960.